	/I= \ /O\ O A A = (
Monday, November 23, 2015 2:19 PM	(b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)
'James Mitchell'	(5)(5)
[AIN] RE: PRB Review of a Manuscript by James R	. Mitchell and William R. Harlow
CI ASSIFIED	
	(b)(3) NatSecA
	[AIN] RE: PRB Review of a Manuscript by James R

James -

The Publications Review Board has completed its review of your updated manuscript (submitted on 20 November 2015) entitled "Interrogating the Enemy" (rewritten to incorporate the PRB's required changes outlined within our 20 November 2015 letter). To ensure this expedited review, and based on our understanding that you made no other changes (either additional or other revised text) to the manuscript other than to address the PRB's required changes, we are providing you with our approval that will allow you to share this updated version of the manuscript.

All other restrictions, limitations, and guidance -- outlined in our 20 November 2015 letter - remain in effect. If you add material to or change the text the Board has approved for publication, you must submit these additions or changes to us before giving them to your publisher or anyone else. In such a case, please mark or otherwise clearly indicate the new material so we can expedite our review.

Certainly the PRB would expect to receive the galley proofs (or other final version format) of the final manuscript as it will appear in book form to ensure the published version will contain no classified information.

If you have any other questions, please let us know.

Sincerely,

PRB Staff

(D)(D)	
From: James Mitchell Sent: Friday, November 20, 2015 3:13 PM To: (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)	
Subject: Re: PRB Review of a Manuscript by James R. Mitchell and William R. Harlow	
Here is the revised version of my manuscript incorporating the changes requested by the PRB. Please note that because of deletions and revisions, the page numbers with the requested changes have shifted. The new page numbers with the redactions you requested are: $ (b)(3) N(3) = 0 $ Also I have highlighted the changes in yellow, so they will be easier to find.	atSecAct
Since they changes were relatively simple and we accepted all of them, we're are hoping you can turn this around quickly and we can submit it to our publisher next week.	
Thank you,	
James Mitchell (b)(6)	